arXiv:0905.3922v2 [hep-ph] 31 May 2009

Altarelli-Parisi Equation in Non-Equilibrium QCD

Gouranga C Nayak[1,*]

[1] *Department of Physics, University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ 85721, USA*

Abstract

The Q^2 evolution of fragmentation function in non-equilibrium QCD may be necessary to study hadron formation from quark-gluon plasma at RHIC and LHC. In this paper we study DGLAP evolution equation of fragmentation function in non-equilibrium QCD by using Schwinger-Keldysh closed-time path integral formalism. For quarks and gluons with arbitrary non-equilibrium distribution functions $f_q(\vec{p})$ and $f_g(\vec{p})$, we derive expressions for quark and gluon splitting functions in non-equilibrium QCD at leading order in coupling constant α_s. We find that the quark and gluon splitting functions in QCD medium depend on non-equilibrium distribution functions $f_q(\vec{p})$ and $f_g(\vec{p})$. We make a comparison of these splitting functions with that derived by Altarelli and Parisi in vacuum and find that the non-equilibrium QCD splitting function carries an extra factor $[1+f_A(\vec{p}_A)]^2 \times [1+f_B(\vec{p}_B)]^2 \times [1+f_C(\vec{p}_C)]^2$ where parton A emits a parton B.

PACS numbers: PACS: 12.39.St, 13.87.Fh, 13.87.Ce, 13.85.Ni

---

[*] Electronic address: nayak@physics.arizona.edu

I. INTRODUCTION

RHIC and LHC heavy-ion colliders are the best facilities to study quark-gluon plasma in the laboratory. Since two nuclei travel almost at speed of light, the QCD matter formed at RHIC and LHC may be in non-equilibrium. In order to make meaningful comparison of the theory with the experimental data on hadron production, it may be necessary to study nonequilibrium-nonperturbative QCD at RHIC and LHC. This, however, is a difficult problem.

Non-equilibrium quantum field theory can be studied by using Schwinger-Keldysh closed-time path (CTP) formalism [1, 2]. However, implementing CTP in non-equilibrium at RHIC and LHC is a very difficult problem, especially due to the presence of gluons in non-equilibrium and hadronization etc. Recently, one-loop resumed gluon propagator in non-equilibrium in covariant gauge is derived in [3, 4].

High p_T hadron production at high energy e^+e^-, ep and pp colliders is studied by using Collins-Soper fragmentation function [5, 6]. For a high p_T parton fragmenting to hadron, Collins-Soper derived an expression for the fragmentation function based on field theory and factorization properties in QCD at high energy [7]. This fragmentation function is universal in the sense that, once its value is determined from one experiment it explains the data at other experiments.

Recently we have derived parton to hadron fragmentation function in non-equilibrium QCD by using Schwinger-Keldysh closed-time path integral formalism [8]. This can be relevant at RHIC and LHC heavy-ion colliders to study hadron production from quark-gluon plasma. We have considered a high p_T parton in medium at initial time τ_0 with arbitrary non-equilibrium (non-isotropic) distribution function $f(\vec{p})$ fragmenting to hadron. The special case $f(\vec{p}) = \frac{1}{e^{\frac{p_0}{T}} \pm 1}$ corresponds to the finite temperature QCD in equilibrium.

We have found the following definition of the parton to hadron fragmentation function in non-equilibrium QCD by using closed-time path integral formalism [8]. For a quark (q) with arbitrary non-equilibrium distribution function $f_q(\vec{k})$ at initial time, the quark to hadron fragmentation function is given by

$$D_{H/q}(z,P_T) = \frac{1}{2z\ [1+f_q(\vec{k})]} \int dx^- \frac{d^{d-2}x_T}{(2\pi)^{d-1}} e^{ik^+x^- + iP_T\cdot x_T/z}$$
$$\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}_{\mathrm{Dirac}}\ \frac{1}{3}\mathrm{tr}_{\mathrm{color}}[\gamma^+ < in|\psi(x^-,x_T)\ \Phi[x^-,x_T]\ a_H^\dagger(P^+,0_T)a_H(P^+,0_T)\ \Phi[0]\ \bar{\psi}(0)|in >] \quad (1)$$

where z $(=\frac{P^+}{k^+})$ is the longitudinal momentum fraction of the hadron with respect to the parton and P_T is the transverse momentum of the hadron. For a gluon (g) with arbitrary non-equilibrium distribution function $f_g(\vec{k})$ at initial time, the gluon to hadron fragmentation function is given by

$$D_{H/g}(z,P_T) = \frac{1}{2zk^+\ [1+f_g(\vec{k})]} \int dx^- \frac{d^{d-2}x_T}{(2\pi)^{d-1}} e^{ik^+x^-+iP_T\cdot x_T/z}$$
$$\frac{1}{8}\sum_{a=1}^{8}[< in|F_a^{+\mu}(x^-,x_T)\ \Phi[x^-,x_T]\ a_H^\dagger(P^+,0_T)a_H(P^+,0_T)\ \Phi[0]\ F_{\mu a}^{+}(0)|in>]. \quad (2)$$

In the above equations $|in>$ is the initial state of the non-equilibrium quark (gluon) medium. The path ordered exponential

$$\Phi[x^\mu] = \mathcal{P}\ \exp[ig\int_{-\infty}^{0} d\lambda\ n\cdot A^a(x^\mu+n^\mu\lambda)\ T^a] \quad (3)$$

is the Wilson line [5, 7, 9].

Eqs. (1) and (2) can be compared with the following definition of Collins-Soper fragmentation function in vacuum [5]:

$$D_{H/q}(z,P_T) = \frac{1}{2z}\int dx^- \frac{d^{d-2}x_T}{(2\pi)^{d-1}} e^{ik^+x^-+iP_T\cdot x_T/z}$$
$$\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}_{\mathrm{Dirac}}\ \frac{1}{3}\mathrm{tr}_{\mathrm{color}}[\gamma^+ < 0|\psi(x^-,x_T)\ \Phi[x^-,x_T]\ a_H^\dagger(P^+,0_T)a_H(P^+,0_T)\ \Phi[0]\ \bar{\psi}(0)|0>] \quad (4)$$

and

$$D_{H/g}(z,P_T) = -\frac{1}{2zk^+}\int dx^- \frac{d^{d-2}x_T}{(2\pi)^{d-1}} e^{ik^+x^-+iP_T\cdot x_T/z}$$
$$\frac{1}{8}\sum_{a=1}^{8}[< 0|F_a^{+\mu}(x^-,x_T)\ \Phi[x^-,x_T]\ a_H^\dagger(P^+,0_T)a_H(P^+,0_T)\ \Phi[0]\ F_{\mu a}^{+}(0)|0>]. \quad (5)$$

Since the fragmentation function is a non-perturbative quantity, we do not have theoretical tools in QCD to calculate it yet. The normal procedure at high energy pp, ep and e^+e^- colliders is to extract it at some initial momentum scale μ_0 and then evolve it to another scale μ by using the DGLAP evolution equation [10, 11, 12]:

$$\mu\frac{\partial}{\partial\mu}D_{i\to j/\psi}(z) = \sum_j\int_z^1 \frac{dy}{y}P_{ij}(\frac{z}{y},\mu)D_{j\to j/\psi}(y). \quad (6)$$

In the above equation $P_{ij}(z)$ is the splitting function of a parton j into a parton i which is related to the probability of a parton j emitting a parton i with longitudinal momentum fraction z. These quark and gluon splitting functions in vacuum is evaluated by Altarelli and Parisi in [11] at the leading order in coupling constant α_s.

In this paper we will evaluate the quark and gluon splitting functions in non-equilibrium QCD by using closed-time path integral formalism. We find that these splitting functions depend on non-equilibrium distribution functions of quarks and gluons in the QCD medium. The Q^2 evolution of the non-equilibrium fragmentation functions (eqs. (1) and (2)) can be studied from eq. (6) by using these non-equilibrium splitting functions.

We find the following expressions for the quark and gluon splitting functions in non-equilibrium QCD at leading order in coupling constant α_s

$$\begin{aligned}
&P_{gq}(z) = C_2(R)\ [1+f_q(k)]^2\ [1+f_g(k_T,zk)]^2\ [1+f_q(-k_T,(1-z)k)]^2\ [\frac{1+(1-z)^2}{z}] \\
&P_{qq}(z) = C_2(R)\ [1+f_q(k)]^2\ [1+f_g(-k_T,(1-z)k)]^2\ [1+f_q(k_T,zk)]^2\ [\frac{1+z^2}{1-z}] \\
&P_{gg}(z) = 2C_2(R)\ [1+f_g(k)]^2\ [1+f_g(k_T,zk)]^2\ [1+f_g(-k_T,(1-z)k)]^2 \\
&[\frac{1-z}{z}+\frac{z}{1-z}+z(1-z)].
\end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

where

$$C_2(R) = \frac{4}{3} \tag{8}$$

and k is the momentum of initial parton (which is assumed to be along longitudinal direction), k_T is the transverse momentum of the emitted parton and z is the longitudinal momentum fraction of the initial parton carried by the emitted parton.

Eq. (7) can be compared with the following expressions for the splitting functions in vacuum obtained by Altarelli and Parisi [11] at the leading order in coupling constant α_s:

$$\begin{aligned}
&P_{gq}(z) = C_2(R)\ \frac{1+(1-z)^2}{z} \\
&P_{qq}(z) = C_2(R)\ \frac{1+z^2}{1-z} \\
&P_{gg}(z) = 2C_2(R)\ [\frac{1-z}{z}+\frac{z}{1-z}+z(1-z)].
\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

Hence comparing with the splitting functions derived by Altarelli and Parisi in vacuum (see eq. (9)) we find that the non-equilibrium QCD splitting function (see eq. (7)) carries an extra factor $[1+f_A(\vec{p}_A)]^2\ \times\ [1+f_B(\vec{p}_B)]^2\ \times\ [1+f_C(\vec{p}_C)]^2$ where parton A emits a parton B.

We will present derivation of eq. (7) in this paper.

The paper is organized as follows. In section II we briefly review the derivation of quark and gluon splitting functions in vacuum. In section III we describe Schwinger-Keldysh closed-time path integral formalism in non-equilibrium QCD relevant to our calculation. In section IV we derive quark and gluon splitting functions P_{ij} in non-equilibrium QCD by using closed-time path integral formalism. Section V contains conclusions.

II. QUARK AND GLUON SPLITTING FUNCTIONS IN VACUUM

In this section we briefly review the derivation of quark and gluon splitting functions P_{ij} in vacuum. We will present our calculation in the $S-$matrix approach. Hence, our derivation is slightly different from [11].

Consider a quark with momentum p_A emitting a gluon with momentum p_B in the process $q(p_A) \rightarrow g(p_B) + q(p_C)$. The S-matrix element for this process is given by

$$S^{(1)} = ig\int d^4x N[\bar{\psi}(x)\not{A}^a(x)T^a\psi(x)] \tag{10}$$

where (the normalization is from [13])

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi(x) &= \psi^+(x)+\psi^-(x) = \sum_{\text{spin}}\sum_{p}\sqrt{\frac{m}{VE_p}}[a_q(p)u(p)e^{-ip\cdot x}+a_{\bar{q}}^\dagger(p)v(p)e^{ip\cdot x}]\\
\bar{\psi}(x) &= \bar{\psi}^+(x)+\bar{\psi}^-(x) = \sum_{\text{spin}}\sum_{p}\sqrt{\frac{m}{VE_p}}[a_{\bar{q}}(p)\bar{v}(p)e^{-ip\cdot x}+a_{q}^\dagger(p)\bar{u}(p)e^{ip\cdot x}]\\
A^\mu(x) &= A^{\mu+}(x)+A^{\mu-}(x) = \sum_{\text{spin}}\sum_{p}\sqrt{\frac{1}{2VE_p}}[a_g(p)\epsilon^\mu(p)e^{-ip\cdot x}+a_{g}^\dagger(p)\epsilon^\mu(p)e^{ip\cdot x}].
\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

In the above equation $a_q(p)$, $a_{\bar{q}}(p)$ and $a_g(p)$ are annihilation operators for quark, antiquark and gluons respectively. In eq. (11) the suppression of color indices are understood. The initial and final states are

$$|i>=|q(p_A)>=a_q^\dagger(p_A)|0>, \qquad\qquad |f>=|q(p_C),\ g(p_B)>=a_q^\dagger(p_C)a_g^\dagger(p_B)|0>, \tag{12}$$

where $p_C = p_A - p_B$.

By using eqs. (10), (11) and (12) we find

$$\begin{aligned}
<f|S^{(1)}|i> = ig\int d^4x\sum_{\text{spin}}\sum_{p,p',p''}\sqrt{\frac{m}{VE_p}}\sqrt{\frac{m}{VE_{p''}}}\sqrt{\frac{1}{2VE_{p'}}}<0|a_q(p_C)a_g(p_B)\\
[a_q^\dagger(p)\bar{u}(p)e^{ip\cdot x}][\gamma_\mu a_g^\dagger(p')\epsilon^{a\mu}(p')e^{ip'\cdot x}T^a][a_q(p'')u(p'')e^{-ip''\cdot x}]a_q^\dagger(p_A)|0>.
\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

For the $x-$integration in

$$\int d^4xe^{ix\cdot(p+p'-p'')} = \int dte^{it(E+E'-E'')} \int d^3xe^{-i\vec{x}\cdot(\vec{p}+\vec{p}'-\vec{p}'')}, \tag{14}$$

we make a Wick rotation in time to find

$$\int d^4xe^{ix\cdot(p+p'-p'')} = \int_{T\to\infty} dte^{-t(E+E'-E'')} \int_{V\to\infty} d^3xe^{-i\vec{x}\cdot(\vec{p}+\vec{p}'-\vec{p}'')} = \frac{V}{(E+E'-E'')} \tag{15}$$

where we have used the fact that $\vec{p}+\vec{p}' = \vec{p}''$ in our partonic process. In the above assumption the error in our calculation goes to zero as the volume $V \to \infty$ [13].

The commutation relations are given by

$$[a(p), a^\dagger(p')] = \delta_{pp'}, \qquad\qquad [a(p), a(p')] = [a^\dagger(p), a^\dagger(p')] = 0. \tag{16}$$

Using eqs. (15) and (16) we find from eq. (13)

$$\begin{aligned} < f|S^{(1)}|i >= ig\sum_{\text{spin}} \sum_{p,p',p''} \frac{V}{(E+E'-E'')} \sqrt{\frac{m}{VE_p}}\sqrt{\frac{m}{VE_{p''}}}\sqrt{\frac{1}{2VE_{p'}}} \\ < 0|[a_q^\dagger(p)a_q(p_C)+\delta_{pp_C}]\bar{u}(p)\gamma_\mu[a_g^\dagger(p')a_g(p_B)+\delta_{p'p_B}]\epsilon a\mu(p')T^a[a_q^\dagger(p_A)a_q(p'')+\delta_{p''p_A}]u(p'')|0 > . \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

Summing over p, p' and p'' we obtain the transition matrix element square

$$| < f|S^{(1)}|i > |^2 = [\frac{V}{(E_C+E_B-E_A)}]^2 \, \frac{m}{VE_C}\frac{m}{VE_A}\frac{1}{2VE_B} \sum_{\text{spin}} |M|^2. \tag{18}$$

where

$$M = ig\bar{u}(p_C)\gamma_\mu u(p_A)\epsilon^{a\mu}(p_B)T^a. \tag{19}$$

In order to obtain the probability for an initial quark emitting a final gluon in this process $q(p_A) \to g(p_B) + q(p_C)$, we need to multiply the phase-space factor $\frac{Vd^3p_C}{(2\pi)^3}$ of the remaining final state quark $q(p_C)$. We find

$$W_{gq} = | < g(p_B), q(p_C)|S^{(1)}|q(p_A) > |^2\frac{Vd^3p_C}{(2\pi)^3} = | < f|S^{(1)}|i > |^2\frac{Vd^3p_C}{(2\pi)^3}. \tag{20}$$

For quark spin sum we use [13]

$$\sum_{\text{spin}} u(p)\bar{u}(p) = \frac{\not{p}+m}{2m}. \tag{21}$$

Using eqs. (18) and (21) in (20) we find

$$W_{gq}=g^2\frac{d^3p_C}{(2\pi)^3}\frac{1}{8E_AE_BE_C}\frac{1}{(E_C+E_B-E_A)^2}\ \mathrm{Tr}[(\not p_C+m)\gamma^\mu(\not p_A+m)\gamma^\nu]\ \sum_{\rm pol}\epsilon^\mu\epsilon^\nu\ \ \sum_a\frac{T^aT^a}{3}. \tag{22}$$

For physical transverse gluons and massless quarks we find

$$W_{gq}=C_2(R)g^2\frac{d^3p_C}{(2\pi)^3}\frac{E_B}{2E_AE_C(2E_B)^2}\frac{1}{(E_C+E_B-E_A)^2}\ \mathrm{Tr}[\not p_C\gamma^i\not p_A\gamma^j]\ (\delta^{ij}-\frac{p_B^ip_B^j}{\vec{p}_B^{\,2}}). \tag{23}$$

We assume that the quark p_A is along $z-$ axis with the longitudinal momentum p for which we find [11]

$$\begin{aligned}
&p_A=(p,0,0,p), \qquad p_B=(zp+\frac{p_T^2}{2zp},p_T,zp), \qquad p_C=((1-z)p+\frac{p_T^2}{2(1-z)p},-p_T,(1-z)p)\\
&(2E_B)^2(E_C+E_B-E_A)^2\simeq\frac{p_T^4}{(1-z)^2}, \qquad \frac{d^3p_C}{(2\pi)^32E_C}\simeq\frac{dzdp_T^2}{32\pi^2(1-z)}, \qquad \frac{E_B}{E_A}\simeq z\\
&\frac{(\vec{p}_A\cdot\vec{p}_B)(\vec{p}_C\cdot\vec{p}_B)}{\vec{p}_B^{\,2}}=(1-z)p^2-\frac{p_T^2}{2z^2}, \qquad E_AE_C+\vec{p}_A\cdot\vec{p}_C=2(1-z)p^2+\frac{p_T^2}{2z^2},
\end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

where z is the longitudinal momentum fraction of the initial parton carried by the emitted parton and p_T is the transverse momentum of the emitted parton. Using this and performing the Dirac trace we find from eq. (23)

$$W=C_2(R)g^2\frac{dzdp_T^2}{32\pi^2(1-z)}\frac{E_B}{E_A}\frac{(1-z)^2}{p_T^4}\frac{2p_T^2}{z(1-z)}\frac{1+(1-z)^2}{z}=C_2(R)\frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi}\frac{1+(1-z)^2}{z}\ dz\ d(\ln p_T^2). \tag{25}$$

A. Quark to Gluon Splitting Function in Vacuum

We find from eq. (25) the quark to gluon splitting function

$$P_{gq}(z)=C_2(R)\frac{1+(1-z)^2}{z} \tag{26}$$

which reproduces the exact result obtained by Altarelli and Parisi by a slightly different method [11].

B. Quark to Quark Splitting Function in Vacuum

The quark to quark splitting function [in the process $q(p_A)\to q(p_B)+g(p_C)$] can be obtained from the quark to gluon splitting function [in the process $q(p_A)\to g(p_B)+q(p_C)$]

with the replacement $z \rightarrow (1-z)$

$$P_{qq}(z) = P_{Gq}(1-z), \qquad\qquad z<1. \tag{27}$$

Hence we find from eq. (26) the quark to quark splitting function in vacuum

$$P_{qq}(z) = C_2(R)\, \frac{1+z^2}{1-z} \tag{28}$$

which reproduces the exact result obtained by Altarelli and Parisi.

C. Gluon to Gluon Splitting Function in Vacuum

Similarly, using three gluon diagram and carrying out the above algebra we find gluon to gluon splitting function in vacuum

$$P_{gg}(z) = 2C_2(R)\, [\frac{1-z}{z} + \frac{z}{1-z} + z(1-z)]. \tag{29}$$

which reproduces the exact result obtained by Altarelli and Parisi by a slightly different method [11].

III. NON-EQUILIBRIUM QCD USING CLOSED-TIME PATH FORMALISM

Unlike *pp* collisions, the ground state at RHIC and LHC heavy-ion collisions (due to the presence of a QCD medium at initial time $t = t_{in}$ (say t_{in}=0) is not a vacuum state $|0>$ any more. We denote $|in>$ as the initial state of the non-equilibrium QCD medium at t_{in}. The non-equilibrium distribution function $f(\vec{k})$ of a parton (quark or gluon), corresponding to such initial state is given by

$$< a^\dagger(\vec{k}) a(\vec{k}') > = < in|a^\dagger(\vec{k}) a(\vec{k}')|in > = f(\vec{k}) \delta^{(3)}_{\vec{k}\vec{k}'} \tag{30}$$

where we have assumed space translational invariance at initial time.

Finite temperature field theory formulation is a special case of this when $f(\vec{k}) = \frac{1}{e^{\frac{k_0}{T}} \pm 1}$.

A. Quarks in non-Equilibrium

The non-equilibrium (massless) quark propagator at initial time $t = t_{in}$ is given by (suppression of color indices are understood)

$$G(k)_{ij} = \not{k} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{k^2+i\epsilon} + 2\pi\delta(k^2)f_q(\vec{k}) & -2\pi\delta(k^2)\theta(-k_0) + 2\pi\delta(k^2)f_q(\vec{k}) \\ -2\pi\delta(k^2)\theta(k_0) + 2\pi\delta(k^2)f_q(\vec{k}) & -\frac{1}{k^2-i\epsilon} + 2\pi\delta(k^2)f_q(\vec{k}) \end{pmatrix} \tag{31}$$

where where $i, j = +, -$ and $f_q(\vec{k})$ is the arbitrary non-equilibrium distribution function of quark.

B. Gluons in Non-Equilibrium

We work in the frozen ghost formalism [3, 4] where the non-equilibrium gluon propagator at initial time $t = t_{in}$ is given by (the suppression of color indices are understood)

$$G^{\mu\nu}(k)_{ij} = -i[g^{\mu\nu} + (\alpha - 1)\frac{k^\mu k^\nu}{k^2}]\ G^{\rm vac}_{ij}(k) - iT^{\mu\nu}G^{\rm med}_{ij}(k) \tag{32}$$

where $i, j = +, -$. The transverse tensor is given by

$$T^{\mu\nu}(k) = g^{\mu\nu} - \frac{(k\cdot u)(u^\mu k^\nu + u^\nu k^\mu) - k^\mu k^\nu - k^2 u^\mu u^\nu}{(k\cdot u)^2 - k^2} \tag{33}$$

with the flow velocity of the medium u^μ. $G^{\mu\nu}_{ij}(k)$ are the usual vacuum propagators of the gluon

$$G^{\rm vac}_{ij}(k) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{k^2+i\epsilon} & -2\pi\delta(k^2)\theta(-k_0) \\ -2\pi\delta(k^2)\theta(k_0) & -\frac{1}{k^2-i\epsilon} \end{pmatrix} \tag{34}$$

and the medium part of the propagators are given by

$$G^{\rm med}_{ij}(k) = 2\pi\delta(k^2)f_g(\vec{k}) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{35}$$

IV. QUARK AND GLUON SPLITTING FUNCTIONS IN NON-EQUILIBRIUM QCD

In this section we evaluate quark and gluon splitting functions P_{ij} in non-equilibrium QCD. Similar to the vacuum case in [11] (see eq. (12)) we define the state $|i>$ and $|f>$ in non-equilibrium QCD as follows

$$|i>=|q(p_A)>=a_q^\dagger(p_A)|in>, \qquad\qquad |f>=|q(p_C),\ g(p_B)>=a_q^\dagger(p_C)a_g^\dagger(p_B)|in> \tag{36}$$

where $|in>$ is the initial state of the non-equilibrium QCD medium. It has to be remembered that for evaluating the Feynman diagrams and $S-$matrix we work in the interaction picture where the fields $\psi(x)$ and $A_\mu(x)$ obey the free field equations in terms of creation and annihilation operators as given by eq. (11). From eqs. (36) and (10) we find

$$< f|S^{(1)}|i>=ig\int d^4x <in|a_q(p_C)a_g(p_B)N[\bar{\psi}(x)\not{A}^a(x)T^a\psi(x)]a_q^\dagger(p_A)|in> \tag{37}$$

which gives by using eq. (11)

$$\begin{aligned}< f|S^{(1)}|i>=ig\int d^4x\sum_{\text{spin}}\sum_{p,p',p''}\sqrt{\frac{m}{VE_p}}\sqrt{\frac{m}{VE_{p''}}}\sqrt{\frac{1}{2VE_{p'}}}<in|a_q(p_C)a_g(p_B)\\ [a_q^\dagger(p)\bar{u}(p)e^{ip\cdot x}][\gamma_\mu a_g^\dagger(p')\epsilon^{a\mu}(p')e^{ip'\cdot x}T^a][a_q(p'')u(p'')e^{-ip''\cdot x}]a_q^\dagger(p_A)|in>\,.\end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

Performing $x-$integration (see eq. (15)) we find

$$\begin{aligned}< f|S^{(1)}|i>=ig\sum_{\text{spin}}\sum_{p,p',p''}\frac{V}{(E+E'-E'')}\ \sqrt{\frac{m}{VE_p}}\sqrt{\frac{m}{VE_{p''}}}\sqrt{\frac{1}{2VE_{p'}}}<in|a_q(p_C)a_g(p_B)\\ [a_q^\dagger(p)\bar{u}(p)][\gamma_\mu a_g^\dagger(p')\epsilon^{a\mu}(p')T^a][a_q(p'')u(p'')]a_q^\dagger(p_A)|in>\,.\end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

In the interaction picture the commutation relations are same as that for free field operators

$$[a(p),a^\dagger(p')]=\delta_{pp'}, \qquad\qquad [a(p),a(p')]=[a^\dagger(p),a^\dagger(p')]=0. \tag{40}$$

which gives

$$\begin{aligned}< f|S^{(1)}|i>=ig\sum_{\text{spin}}\sum_{p,p',p''}\frac{V}{(E+E'-E'')}\ \sqrt{\frac{m}{VE_p}}\sqrt{\frac{m}{VE_{p''}}}\sqrt{\frac{1}{2VE_{p'}}}<in|\\ [a_q^\dagger(p)a_q(p_C)+\delta_{pp_C}]\bar{u}(p)\gamma_\mu[a_g^\dagger(p')a_g(p_B)+\delta_{p'p_B}]\epsilon^{a\mu}(p')T^a[a_q^\dagger(p_A)a_q(p'')+\delta_{p''p_A}]u(p'')|in>\,.\end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

For our purpose of evaluating Feynman diagrams in momentum space we use eq. (30)

$$<in|a_p^\dagger a_{p'}|in>=f(\vec{p})\ \delta^{(3)}_{\vec{p}\vec{p}'} \tag{42}$$

where we have assumed the space-translational invariance at initial time $t=t_{in}=0$. Using eq. (42) and summing over p, p' and p'' we find from eq. (41)

$$\begin{aligned}|<f|S^{(1)}|i>|^2=[\frac{V}{(E_C+E_B-E_A)}]^2\ \frac{m}{VE_C}\frac{m}{VE_A}\frac{1}{2VE_B}\\ \times\ [1+f_q(\vec{p}_C)]^2\ \times\ [1+f_g(\vec{p}_B)]^2\ \times\ [1+f_q(\vec{p}_A)]^2\ \sum_{\text{spin}}|M|^2\end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

where

$$M = ig\bar{u}(p_C)\gamma_\mu u(p_A)\epsilon^{a\mu}(p_B)T^a. \tag{44}$$

From now onwards we can follow exactly the same steps as in the vacuum case (see section II, the derivations after eq. (18)) to find the probability

$$W = C_2(R)\frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi}\ [1+f_q(\vec{p}_C)]^2\ \times\ [1+f_g(\vec{p}_B)]^2\ \times\ [1+f_q(\vec{p}_A)]^2\ \frac{1+(1-z)^2}{z}\ dz\ d(\ln p_T^2) \tag{45}$$

Comparing this with the Altarelli-Parisi equation for the probability in vacuum (see eq. (25)) we find that the probability in non-equilibrium QCD carries an extra factor $[1 + f_A(\vec{p}_A)]^2\ \times\ [1+f_B(\vec{p}_B)]^2\ \times\ [1+f_C(\vec{p}_C)]^2$ where parton A emits a parton B.

A. Quark to Gluon Splitting Function in Non-Equilibrium QCD

From eq. (45) we find the quark to gluon splitting function in non-equilibrium QCD at leading order in α_s:

$$P_{gq}(z) = C_2(R)\ [1+f_q(p)]^2\ [1+f_g(p_T, zp)]^2\ [1+f_q(-p_T,(1-z)p)]^2\ \frac{1+(1-z)^2}{z} \tag{46}$$

which reproduces eq. (7).

In the above equation p is the momentum of initial quark (which is assumed to be along longitudinal direction), p_T is the transverse momentum of the gluon and z is the longitudinal momentum fraction of the initial quark carried by the gluon.

B. Quark to Quark Splitting Function in Non-Equilibrium QCD

The quark to quark splitting function [in the process $q(p_A) \rightarrow q(p_B) + g(p_C)$] can be obtained from the quark to gluon splitting function [in the process $q(p_A) \rightarrow g(p_B) + q(p_C)$] with the replacement $z \rightarrow (1-z)$

$$P_{qq}(z) = P_{Gq}(1-z), \qquad\qquad z < 1. \tag{47}$$

Hence we find from eq. (46) the quark to quark splitting function in non-equilibrium QCD

$$P_{qq}(z) = C_2(R)\ [1+f_q(p)]^2\ [1+f_g(-p_T,(1-z)p)]^2\ [1+f_q(p_T, zp)]^2\ \frac{1+z^2}{1-z} \tag{48}$$

which reproduces eq. (7).

C. Gluon to Gluon Splitting Function in Non-Equilibrium QCD

Similarly, using three gluon vertex and carrying out the similar algebra we find gluon to gluon splitting function in non-equilibrium QCD

$$P_{gg}(z) = 2C_2(R)\ [1+f_g(p)]^2\ [1+f_g(p_T,zp)]^2\ [1+f_g(-p_T,(1-z)p)]^2\ [\frac{1-z}{z}+\frac{z}{1-z}+z(1-z)] \tag{49}$$

which reproduces eq. (7).

Comparing eqs. (46), (48) and (49) with the Altarelli-Parisi splitting functions in vacuum [see eqs. (26), (28) and (29)] we find that the non-equilibrium QCD splitting function carries an extra factor $[1+f_A(\vec{p}_A)]^2 \ \times\ [1+f_B(\vec{p}_B)]^2 \ \times\ [1+f_C(\vec{p}_C)]^2$ where parton A emits a parton B.

V. CONCLUSIONS

RHIC and LHC heavy-ion colliders are the best facilities to study quark-gluon plasma in the laboratory. Since two nuclei travel almost at speed of light, the QCD matter formed at RHIC and LHC may be in non-equilibrium. Since the fragmentation function is a non-perturbative quantity, we do not have theoretical tools in QCD to calculate it yet. The normal procedure at high energy pp, ep and e^+e^- colliders is to extract it at some initial momentum scale μ_0 and then evolve it to another scale μ by using the DGLAP evolution equation which involves splitting function P_{ji} of a parton j into a parton i. These quark and gluon splitting functions in vacuum is evaluated by Altarelli and Parisi in [11] at the leading order in coupling constant α_s.

In this paper we have evaluated the quark and gluon splitting functions in non-equilibrium QCD by using closed-time path integral formalism. These splitting functions can be used to study DGLAP evolution equation in non-equilibrium QCD. For quarks and gluons with arbitrary non-equilibrium distribution functions $f_q(\vec{p})$ and $f_g(\vec{p})$, we have derived expressions for quark and gluon splitting functions in non-equilibrium QCD. We have found that the quark and gluon splitting functions depend on non-equilibrium distribution functions $f_q(\vec{p})$ and $f_g(\vec{p})$. We have made a comparison of these splitting functions with that derived by Altarelli and Parisi in vacuum and have found that the non-equilibrium QCD splitting function carries an extra factor $[1+f_A(\vec{p}_A)]^2 \times [1+f_B(\vec{p}_B)]^2 \times [1+f_C(\vec{p}_C)]^2$ where parton A emits a parton B. The Q^2 evolution of this non-equilibrium fragmentation function may be necessary to study hadron formation [8] from quark-gluon plasma [14, 15, 16, 17] at RHIC and LHC.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported in part by Department of Energy under contracts DE-FG02-91ER40664, DE-FG02-04ER41319 and DE-FG02-04ER41298.

---

[1] J. Schwinger, J. Math. Phys. 2 (1961) 407.

[2] L. V. Keldysh, JETP 20 (1965) 1018.

[3] C-W. Kao, G. C. Nayak and W. Greiner, Phys. Rev. D 66 (2002) 034017.

[4] F. Cooper, C-W. Kao and G. C. Nayak, Phys. Rev. D 66 (2002) 114016; hep-ph/0207370.

[5] J. C. Collins and D. E. Soper, Nucl. Phys, B 193 (1981) 381; Erratum-ibid.B213 (1983) 545; Nucl. Phys. 194 (1982) 445.

[6] B. A. Kniehl, G. Kramer and B. Potter, Nucl.Phys.B582:514-536,2000; S. Kretzer, Phys. Rev. D 62, 054001 (2000).

[7] G. Sterman, Phys. Rev. D17, 2773 (1978); Phys. Rev. D17, 2789 (1978); J. C. Collins, D. E. Soper and G. Sterman, Adv. Ser. Direct. High Energy Phys. 5, 1 (1988); Nucl. Phys. B261, 104 (1985); Nucl. Phys. B 308 (1988) 833; G. T. Bodwin, S. J. Brodsky and G. P. Lepage, Phys. Rev. Lett. 47, 1799 (1981); G. T. Bodwin, Phys. Rev. D31, 2616 (1985) [Erratum-ibid. D34, 3932 (1986)].

[8] G. C. Nayak, Eur. Phys. J.C59:891,2009.

[9] R. Tucci, Phys. Rev. D32 (1985) 945.

[10] V. N. Gribov and L. N. Lipatov, Yad. Fiz. 15, 781 (1972) [Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 15, 438 (1972)]; L. N. Lipatov, *ibid.* 20, 181 (1974) [*ibid.* 20, 94 (1975)].

[11] G. Altarelli and G. Parisi, Nucl. Phys. B126 (1977) 298.

[12] Yu. L. Dokshitzer, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 73, 1216 (1977) [Sov. Phys. JETP 46, 641 (1977)].

[13] F. Mandl and G. Shaw, *Quantum Field Theory*, John Wiley and Sons, 1984.

[14] G. C. Nayak *et al.*, Nucl. Phys. A687, 457 (2001); F. Cooper, E. Mottola and G. C. Nayak, Phys. Lett. B555, 181 (2003); R. S. Bhalerao and G. C. Nayak, Phys. Rev. C61, 054907 (2000); G. C. Nayak and V. Ravishankar, Phys. Rev. C58, 356 (1998); Phys. Rev. D55, 6877 (1997); G. C. Nayak and R. Shrock, Phys.Rev.D77:045008,2008; F. Cooper, J. F. Dawson and B. Mihaila, Phys. Rev. D78 (2008) 117901; G. C Nayak, Phys.Lett.B442:427-434,1998; JHEP 9802:005,1998; D. D. Dietrich, G. C. Nayak and W. Greiner, J.Phys.G28:2001-2004,2002; J. O. Schmitt, G. C. Nayak, H. Stoecker and W. Greiner, Phys.Lett.B498:163-168,2001; G. C. Nayak and W. Greiner, hep-th/0001009.

[15] G. C. Nayak and P. van Nieuwenhuizen, Phys. Rev. D71 (2005) 125001; F. Cooper and G. C. Nayak, Phys. Rev. D73 (2006) 065005; G. C. Nayak, Phys. Rev. D72 (2005) 125010; G. C. Nayak, Eur. Phys. J.C59,715 (2009); F. Cooper, M. X. Liu and G. C. Nayak, Phys.Rev.Lett.93:171801,2004; M. C. Birse, C-W. Kao and G. C. Nayak, Phys.Lett.B570:171-179,2003; G. C. Nayak, M. X. Liu and F. Cooper, Phys.Rev.D68:034003,2003; G. C. Nayak, arXiv:0807.4319 [hep-ph]; G. C. Nayak, JHEP 0903:051, 2009; G. C. Nayak, J-W. Qiu and G. Sterman, Phys.Rev.D77:034022,2008; Phys.Rev.Lett.99:212001,2007; Phys.Rev.D74:074007,2006; Phys.Rev.D72:114012,2005; Phys.Lett.B613:45-51,2005; AIP Conf.Proc.842:610-612,2006, hep-ph/0512345; G. C. Nayak and J. Smith, Phys.Rev.D73:014007,2006.

[16] G. C. Nayak, arXiv:0708.2430 [hep-ph]; arXiv:0705.0005 [hep-th]; F. Cooper and G. C. Nayak, hep-th/0609192; hep-th/0611125; hep-th/0612292; G. C. Nayak, arXiv:0705.2770 [hep-ph]; F. Cooper, C-W. Kao and G. C. Nayak, hep-ph/0207370; F. Cooper, C-W. Kao and G. C. Nayak, Phys.Rev.D66:114016,2002; J. Raufeisen, J-C. Peng and G C. Nayak, Phys.Rev.D66:034024,2002; A. Chamblin and G. C. Nayak, Phys. Rev. D66 (2002) 091901; A. Chamblin, F. Cooper and G. C. Nayak, Phys. Lett. B672 (2009) 147; Phys. Rev. D70 (2004) 075018; Phys. Rev. D69 (2004) 065010.

[17] D. Dietrich, G. C. Nayak and W. Greiner, J.Phys.G28:2001-2004,2002; J. Ruppert, G. C. Nayak, D. Dietrich, H. Stoecker and W. Greiner, Phys.Lett.B520:233-242,2001; G. C. Nayak, D. Dietrich and W. Greiner, hep-ph/0104030; C-W. Kao, G. C. Nayak and W. Greiner, Phys.Rev.D66:034017,2002; D. Dietrich, G. C. Nayak and W. Greiner, hep-ph/0009178; Q. Wang, C-W. Kao, G. C. Nayak, H. Stoecker and W. Greiner, Int.J.Mod.Phys.E10:483-500,2001; D. Dietrich, G. C. Nayak and W. Greiner, Phys.Rev.D64:074006,2001.